Notification of a temporary reintroduction or prolongation of border control at internal borders

1. Notification submitted by:			
Norw	ay		
2. Da	te of the notification:		
11 Ap	oril 2025		
interi	te and duration of the planned reintroduction or prolongation of border control at nal borders (Article 27(1), point (d), of Regulation (EU) 2016/399: ay 2025 – 11 November 2025		
borde reinti	ope of the proposed reintroduction or prolongation of border control at internal ers, specifying at which part or parts of the internal borders border control will be roduced or prolonged (Article 27(1), point (b), of Regulation (EU) 2016/399) arrivals from Schengen		
5. No	tification for a:		
	first reintroduction of border control at internal borders (unforeseeable event): Article 25a(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/399		
	prolongation of border control at internal borders (unforeseeable event): Article 25a(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/399		
	Period(s) of previous reintroduction(s) of border control at internal borders:		
	Please indicate the dates of previous reintroductions of border control at internal borders.		
	first reintroduction of border control at internal borders (foreseeable event): Article 25a(4) and (5) of Regulation (EU) 2016/399		
	prolongation of border control at internal borders (foreseeable event): Article 25a(4) and (5) of Regulation (EU) 2016/399		
	Period(s) of previous reintroduction(s) of border control at internal borders:		
	Please indicate the dates of previous reintroductions of border control at internal		

	borders.
	prolongation of border control at internal borders after 2 years, for major exceptional situation: Article 25a(6) of Regulation (EU) 2016/399
	Period(s) of previous reintroduction(s) of border control at internal borders:
	Please indicate the dates of previous reintroductions of border control at internal borders.
	prolongation of border control at internal borders after 2 years and 6 months, for major exceptional situation: Article 25a(6) of Regulation (EU) 2016/399
	Period(s) of previous reintroduction(s) of border control at internal borders:
	Please indicate the dates of previous reintroductions of border control at internal borders.
	reintroduction of border control at internal borders in accordance with a Council Implementing Decision: Article 28(1) and (5) of Regulation (EU) 2016/399
have t upon reintre first r	A continuation of a reintroduction of border control at the internal borders does not to be considered a prolongation if the serious threat to public policy or internal security which it is based is different from the serious threat that motivated the preceding coduction of border control at the internal border. If this is the case, please notify for a reintroduction and specify the new and distinct nature of the serious threat to public or internal security under 7.
plann	the notification for a foreseeable event is made less than four weeks before the ned reintroduction or prolongation of border control at internal borders (Article 1), (5) and (6) of Regulation (EU) 2016/399):
	e indicate when the circumstances giving rise to the need to reintroduce or prolong er control at internal borders became known.
7. Ser	rious threat to public policy or internal security, consisting of:
	terrorist incidents or threats, and threats posed by serious organised crime
	large-scale public health emergencies

an exceptional situation characterised by sudden large-scale unauthorised movements	S
of third country nationals, within the meaning of Article 25(1), point (c), o	f
Regulation (EU) 2016/399	
Large scale or high-profile international event	

X Other

Norway has an important role in assuring European security as producer and supplier of energy to European Allies and must, both nationally and in cooperation with Allies, apply measures to secure this supply.

In this respect, the threat of sabotage in general is of great concern.

The Norwegian Police Security Service assess that Russia's full-scale invasion of Ukraine and the deteriorating relationship between Russia and the West continue to characterise the threat situation in Norway.

In addition to extensive, continuous intelligence and influence operations, there is an increased likelihood that Russian intelligence services will try to carry out sabotage operations in Norway. It is further underlined that the Police Security Service finds it **likely** that Russian intelligence will try to carry out such actions against targets in Norway in 2025.

The purpose of any actions against targets in Norway will be to prevent us from making deliveries to Ukraine or to negatively influence public opinion on support for Ukraine. The targets of any actions in Norway will probably be similar to what we have seen in Europe. In addition, Norwegian-owned energy infrastructure may also be a target for sabotage in the year ahead. Whether, how and the extent to which this will happen depends, among other things, on Russia's intentions and on how the war in Ukraine unfolds

In accordance with the Commission recommendation on proportionate police checks and police cooperation in the Schengen area of 12th of May 2017, Norway has been carrying out intensified police checks in the areas of Viken region bordering on Sweden. The Norwegian and Swedish police authorities have a very good bilateral cooperation. However, such measures cannot fully substitute internal border controls in the Norwegian ports.

8. Names of authorised border crossing points

Ports with ferry-arrivals from Schengen, at present the harbours in Oslo, Sandefjord, Larvik, Kristiansand, Stavanger, Bergen.

9. Measures taken by other Member States (if applicable	9.	Measures ta	aken by	other	Member	States	(if applicable
---	----	-------------	---------	-------	--------	---------------	----------------

Belgium, the Netherlands, Germany, Norway, the UK and Denmark have last year agreed on a Joint Declaration on cooperation regarding protection of critical infrastructure in the North Sea. They cooperate on security measures, share information and knowledge and report relevant information at operational level.

10. Assessment of necessity and proportionality under Article 26(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/399

	Appropriateness: explanation how the reintroduction of border control at
	internal borders is likely to adequately remedy the threat

The Norwegian Police emphasizes the value the intelligence gathered in connection to the controls have in order to mitigate the threats confronting Norway and that there are no other means that can compensate for the controls. The Police therefore recommends continuation of the controls.

The Norwegian Police also underlines the effect the controls have in countering attempts of foreign malign actors to travel to Norway.

10.2 Explanation why the objectives pursued by the reintroduction cannot be obtained by:

Introducing controls at internal borders allows the collection of advance passenger data.

10.3 Likely impact on the movement of persons within the area without internal border control and the functioning of cross-border regions

The controls are targeted and have minimal impact on traffic flow.

10.4 If the serious threat consists of sudden large-scale unauthorised movements (Article 25(1), point (c), and 27(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/399):

10.5	Opinion of the	Commission ar	d consultation	process (if	f applicabl	le)
------	----------------	---------------	----------------	-------------	-------------	-----

11. If the notification concerns a prolongation of border control at internal borders after 6 months in accordance with Article 25a(5) of Regulation (EU) 2016/399 (Article 27(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/399)

	Assessment of the necessity and proportionality based on the criteria laid down in Article 26(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/399				
11.2	Scale and anticipated evolution of the serious threat				
	Please elaborate on the scale and anticipated evolution of the serious threat, in particular: - how long the serious threat is expected to persist; - which sections of the internal borders may be affected; - information regarding coordination measures with other Member States impacted or likely to be impacted by the border control at internal borders.				
	Assessment of the necessity and proportionality based on the criteria laid				
	down in Article 26(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/399 The border controls will be limited in scope, both operationally and geographically, to what is strictly necessary to prevent possible threats to the internal security and public policy. The controls will be targeted and based on risk assessment, and				
	carried out in accordance with the Commission guidelines for border management issues. Furthermore, the controls will be limited to ports with ferry connections to the Schengen Area.				
12.2	issues. Furthermore, the controls will be limited to ports with ferry connections to				
12.2	issues. Furthermore, the controls will be limited to ports with ferry connections to the Schengen Area. Action taken in response to an opinion of the Commission issued in				

12.5	Explanation why alternative measures are ineffective
12.6	Presentation of mitigating measures
12.7	Where appropriate: presentation of the means, actions, conditions and timeline with a view to lifting border control at internal borders
13. Any f	Further information (optional)
	nholding of information on grounds of public security (Article 27(5) of on (EU) 2016/399)
15. Confi	identiality of information (Article 35 of Regulation (EU) 2016/399)